PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 0 1 DEC 2005

			DEC D D I DEC	. 2003
Applicant's or agent's file reference P200301025WO	FOR FURTHER ACT	TION S	ee Fd.WEOAPEA416	PĢT
International application No.	International filing date (da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/y	rear)
PCT/DK2004/000822	26.11.2004		28.11.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or C12N15/82, A01N65/00, C07K16/		,		
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN				
This report is the international p Authority under Article 35 and tr	reliminary examination repo ansmitted to the applicant a	ort, established by this laccording to Article 36.	nternational Preliminar	y Examining
2. This REPORT consists of a total	I of 9 sheets, including this	s cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising	:		
	l to the International Bureau			
sheets of the description and/or sheets contain Administrative Instru	otion, claims and/or drawing ning rectifications authorize actions).	gs which have been am ad by this Authority (see	ended and are the basi Rule 70.16 and Section	s of this report on 607 of the
☐ sheets which supers beyond the disclosu Supplemental Box.	sede earlier sheets, but whi re in the international applic	ch this Authority consid cation as filed, as indica	ers contain an amendnated in item 4 of Box No	nent that goes o. I and the
sequence listing and/or t	I Bureau only) a total of (incables related thereto, in cooce Listing (see Section 802	mputer readable form o	nly, as indicated in the	, containing a Supplemental
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ms:		
☑ Box No. I Basis of the o	pinion			cability O
☐ Box No. II Priority				Ö
☑ Box No. III Non-establish	nment of opinion with regard	d to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applic	cability 💍
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention			ш
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability;	atement under Article 35(2) citations and explanations s	with regard to novelty, supporting such statem	inventive step or indust ent	trial H
☐ Box No. VI Certain docu	ments cited			7
☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international applic	cation		₹
⊠ Box No. VIII Certain obse	rvations on the internationa	l application		AVA
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report	BEST
21.09.2005		02.12.2005		ğ
Name and mailing address of the internal preliminary examining authority:	i	Authorized Officer		September Principle
European Patent Office - F NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pay Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx:	s Bas	Maddox, A		
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	·	Telephone No. +31 70 34	10-2336	Sandara supply . Sale

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000822

	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	. With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ inte ☐ pub	port is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: rnational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) lication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) rnational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2	 With regard have been it 	to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this riginally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description,	Pages		
	1-53	as originally filed		
	Sequence lis	stings part of the description, Pages		
	1-18	as originally filed		
	Claims, Num	bers		
	1-26	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sh	eets		
	1/10-10/10	as originally filed		
	⊠ a seque	nce listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3 .	☐ The ame ☐ the de ☐ the cl ☐ the de ☐ the de ☐ the see	endments have resulted in the cancellation of: escription, pages aims, Nos. rawings, sheets/figs equence listing (specify): able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
l.	Supplemental the de the cla the dra the dra the se any ta	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Box (Rule 70.2(c)). secription, pages aims, Nos. awings, sheets/figs quence listing (specify): ble(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If item	4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000822

		No. III Non-establishment of licability	opir	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
1.	The obvi	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:		
		the entire international application	on,	
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 19-22		
		because:		
		the said international application not require an international preli	n, or mina	the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does ry examination (specify):
		the description, claims or drawing that no meaningful opinion could	ngs <i>(</i> d be	indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear formed (specify):
		the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are s	o inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
	\boxtimes	no international search report h	as b	een established for the said claims Nos. 19-22
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Anno C of the Administrative Instructions in that:		quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex in that:
		the written form		has not been furnished
				does not comply with the standard
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished
				does not comply with the standard
		the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical r	itide equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	×	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils .

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000822

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

23,25

No: Claims

1-18,24,26

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18,23-26

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18,23-26

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000822

	Supple	mental Box relating to Sequence Listing
Co	ontinuat	ion of Box I, item 2:
1.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this report has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	\boxtimes	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
	\boxtimes	in written format
	\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
	⊠	contained in the international application as filed
	×	filed together with the international application in computer readable form
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination
		received by this Authority as an amendment on
2	th a	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating nereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or ditional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed appropriate, were furnished.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

1 The following documents are relevant, the numbering will be maintained

D1: EP1033405.

D2: WO0141556.

D3: The Plant Journal 32:975-983, 2002.

D4: bk3western03.pdf, July 1, 2003.

D5: Trends in Plant Science 6(9):392-394, 2001.

Re Item I

Basis of the report

- 2 Essentially Biological Process
- 2.1 Claims 15,17, and 25 extend to methods within the meaning of Rule 67.1(ii) PCT.

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The ISA found that the application lacked unity of invention and invited the applicant to pay an additional search fee in accordance with Article 17(3)(a) and Rule 40.1 PCT. The applicant elected not to pay this fee. The International Search Report has therefore only been established for the subject matter of claims 1-18, and 23-26. The subject matter of claims 19-22 has not been searched and has therefore not been examined in accordance with Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter under examination is that defined by claims 1-18, and 23-26 (cf. section III)

4 Novelty

Ø

- 4.1 The subject matter of claims 1-18,24 and 26 is not new within the meaning of Article 33(2) PCT for the following reasons.
- 4.2 D1(cf. SEQ ID NOS:49381 and 49382 encoded by 49380) discloses a sequence identical with SEQ ID NO:2 i.e a MKS1 polypeptide. It also claims transgenic plants comprising this sequence(cf. claims). Consequently enhanced disease resistance is an inherent property of these plants. Methods and vectors for producing the transgenic plants is also implicitly disclosed. This subject matter is directly and unambiguously derivable from said disclosure and therefore can not be seen as a selection invention. The subject matter of claims 1,15,18,24 and 26 is therefore not new.
- 4.2.1 The subject matter of claims 2-14,16, and 17 does not add new subject matter over that of the claims mentioned in 5.2 as the claimed combination of features is also directly and unambiguously derivable from D1.

5 Inventive Step

- 5.1 The requirements of Article 33(3) PCT are not fulfilled, as even if novelty could be established for the subject matter underlying claims 1-18, and 23-26, it would lack an inventive step for the following reasons.
- 5.2 The closest state of the art is D4. It discloses transgenic plants overexpressing the substrate of MPK4 having enhanced disease resistance. The disclosure is not enabling in that MKS1 is not made available. The subject matter of the application differs from that of D4 in that it identifies the substrate MKS1. The problem underlying the application is the implementation of the concept made available in D4. In view of the need to develop effective disease resistance in plants there is an incentive from D4 to reduce the teaching thereof to practice. D5 is in the field of disease resistance in relation to MPK4 and discloses the means by which to identify the substrate (MKS1). The skilled person would be aware of this teaching. Since the application of this teaching provides the skilled person with a reasonable expectation of solving the problem, the claimed subject matter would be arrived at in an obvious manner devoid

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000822

of inventive skill or ability.

5.3 The reasonable expectations of the skilled person are directed to the success of solving the above-mentioned problem. D5 in combination with the common general knowledge of the skilled person clearly provides a technical teaching suitable for identifying MPK4 interactors such as MKS1. Not only does it disclose one potential target as a transcription factor it also direct itself to another target that controls SA response and therefore can not be considered as totally divergent from the teaching of D4. Notwithstanding this it is the teaching of D4 that is the starting point of the present problem and determines the direction the skilled person would follow. In this respect starting from D4 the disclosure D5 indicates that two hybrid screening has been successful in identifying other MPK4 interactors. Hence the skilled person would not be deterred from seeing this method as routine and applying it to the isolation of MKS1. The skilled person would also be capable of generating suitable bait sequences of MPK4 without exercising any undue burden. Even if the process of screening the interactors would be time consuming the skilled person would not need to be aware of MAP kinase or transcription factor interacting domains to screen for MKS1 since the activity of this protein in transgenic assays is known from D4. Hence it involves nothing more than routine experimentation to arrive at the MKS1 of D4 given the teaching of D5.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

6 Clarity

- 6.1 In order to expedite the procedure the division notes the following deficiencies arising from the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 6.2 It is not clear if MAP kinase substrate refers to a MAP kinase that is a substrate, or to a substrate for a MAP kinase. In the latter case this does not appear to be limited to a substrate of MPK4. However the application is only directed to substrates of this kinase. This inconsistency leads to a lack of clarity and an absence of the essential technical features. The term MKS1 is a laboratory designation without any technical

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000822

meaning. It is not evident from the claim alone that the term relates to a substrate of the MAP kinase. The technical feature associated with the term **conservatively substituted** is unclear since the term does not have an unequivocal meaning. The meaning of the term should be evident from the claim alone in the case where a specific meaning is defined elsewhere in the application.

6.3 Claims 24 and 26 refer to a product defined by a process of manufacture. This is only allowed in the case that the plant may not more precisely defined. As such the claims lack the essential technical features of the invention.

عنوس

.02.7

. ",;,

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record.

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☑ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
\square COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
\square REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ other:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.